1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
11	KEITH E. BERRY,	
12	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C10-5078BHS/JRC
13	v.	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY
14	STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	This 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate	
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1)(A) and(B) and Local Magistrate Judges' Rules MJR 1,	
19	MJR 3, and MJR 4.	
20	The matter is before the court on plaintiff's motion to compel discovery (ECF No. 31).	
21	Defendants have responded and argue the motion should be denied because the discovery was	
22	submitted several months after the discovery cut-off date, and because the parties have not	
23	conferred prior to the filing of this motion as required by Local Rule 37 (ECF No. 34).	
24		
25		
26		
	1	

Both of the grounds for denial submitted by defendants are well taken. The motion to compel is DENIED. DATED this 7th day of January, 2011. J. Richard Creatura United States Magistrate Judge